

## Core or Controversial Instructional Materials Proposal

Department	Subje	ct Area	
Course Title			
Program			
Title			
Publisher			
ISBN			
Grade Level			
CIP Code (CTE Only)	Hours		
<u>Choose One:</u> Core Instructional Materials*		Controversial Materials	
*Core Instructional Materials are the primary instructional resources for and provide instruction towards course requirements. (Policy #2020)  Comments:	or a given course. They are	district approved and provided to all students to	help meet learning standards
<b>Recommendation by IMPC</b> Approval of this recommendation will conform with a) applicable so District Administrative Procedures.	state and federal laws; b)	he stated goals and objectives of the district; o	:) policies of the Board; and d)
Teacher's Signature	Teacher's Name		Date
CTE Director's Signature	CTE Director's Name		Date
Principal's Signature	Principal's Name		Date
	Director's Name		Date
Technology Director's Signature			
District Administrator's Signature	Administrator's Name		Date

a. Droconte more than or	as visuasint of controvers	ialissuos		
	ne viewpoint of controvers		_	_
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
b. Presents minorities rea	alistically.			
		□ Fata	□ D	□ Na+ Annilanta
☐ Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
c. Includes contributions	of minority authors.			
Excellent	Good	☐ Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
d. Presents non-stereoty	pic models.			
☐ Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
e. Facilitates the sharing	of cultural differences.			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
f. Promotes the positive	nature of differences.			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
g. Includes the contribut	ions, inventions, or discov	eries of minorities.		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
h. Includes the contribut	ions, inventions, or discov	eries of women.		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
i. Presents minorities in a	a manner that promotes et	hnic pride.		
☐ Excellent	Good	☐ Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable
	nent open to discovery and	d experimentation.		
Excellent	Good	☐ Fair	Poor	☐ Not Applicable

1. As per Board Policy 2020, please indicate your rating on the bias content as stated below by checking your response:

2.	Rationale for the selection of these materials, including a description of the materials that were considered but rejected.
3.	Comparison to current materials. Why are current materials unsatisfactory?
4.	Description of how the materials are aligned to Washington State Learning Standards, CTE Frameworks, GVC, and/or College Readiness.

Materials	1	Title/Description		Contract Leng	gth Quantity	Cost
Core						
Consumables						
Online License						
Other						
				Grand Total:	\$	
a. Funding Sources:						
Yes* *If yes, the Director	structional materials ha  No of Technology must be con be how the technology	isulted and sign off on the	e proposal prior to going to	the IMPC.		
6. Estimate the ann	ual costs:					
	ual costs:  led with annual funds?					

5. Cost of acquiring materials and funding sources for materials. Attach a professional quote for all materials from the publisher's

7. Potential controversies and plan to minimize controversy. Is there a reasonable expectation that approval or implementation wil be controversial? If yes, describe the likely controversy and the steps (or precautions or modifications that will be take that make approval/adoption appropriate.
8. How do the materials (a) provide sufficient flexibility and/or clear and appropriate differentiation components to meet the varied
needs and abilities of the students served (English Language Learners, special education students, students with opportunity gaps and highly capable students); and (b) support an equitable access to learning and learning materials of all students (including provision of appropriate, high-quality accessible instructional materials to all students with disabilities who require them)?
9. How do the materials demonstrate consideration of appropriate format(s) (including technological, visual, and/or auditory components?